Jur Depart Lhoks http://ej	nal mentofN eumawe urnal.pnl.ac.iu	Poli Aechanic State d/polimesir	me al Engi Polyt	sin neering echnic		
e-ISSN: 2549-1999	No :	2	Month	: August		
p-ISSN: 1693-5462	Volume :	20	Year	: 2022		
Article Info						

Received	:	2022-04-14	Accepted	:	2022-05-24
Revised	:	2022-05-17	Available online	:	2020-08-31

Simulation and Experimental Evaluation of Tensile Properties and Macrostructure Changed of 3D printer PLA Filaments

Syaharuddin Rasyid¹, Rusdi Nur^{1,2*}, Muas Muchtar¹, Yosrihard Basongan¹

- ¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, State Polytechnic of Ujung Pandang, Makassar, 90245, Indonesia
- ²Center for Materials and Manufacturing, State Polytechnic of Ujung Pandang, Makassar, 90245, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: rusdinur@poliupg.ac.id

Abstract

The use of printing products with 3D printing has been widely used in everyday life, but research regarding its strength and performance is still limited. Therefore, simulation and experimental approaches are used in this paper to analyse the characteristics of PLA+ materials produced by 3D printers. a. Design tensile test specimens and static stress tests in Autodesk Fusion360; b. Calculate the weight of the tensile test specimen; c. Print tensile test specimens with variations in infill (60, 80, and 100%) and print direction (0, 45, and 90 degrees); and d. Experiment with macrostructure, weight, and tensile tests. The conclusions of this study are; a. PLA+ material properties (macrostructure, weight, tensile strength, tensile strain) have been obtained experimentally and through simulation, b. The tensile strength of the simulated PLA+ material is higher than the experimental test results, c. The level of density (infill), affects the weight and tensile strength, and d. The direction of the impression affects the tensile strength, but weight and tensile strain have no effect.

Keywords:

Characteristic, Filament 3D, 3D Printer, Simulation, and Experiment.

1 Introduction

Efficiency in the process and accuracy of product dimensions are significant in the increasingly fierce global industrial competition. This has a significant effect on the costs incurred in producing quality products. Before a product is made in mass quantities, a product model or prototype is first made to determine its shape, dimensions, and ergonomics, so that evaluation can be carried out. Prototyping can be done by removing some of the material on the workpiece, carrying out the material suppression process, or adding material to the product. Adding material to this product is known as additive manufacturing or layer manufacturing using 3D printing [1].

It has been 35 years since Charies W. Hull had the brilliant idea of using 3D printing technology to create products [2]–[4]. 3D printing is a unique, innovative, and creative additive manufacturing method that uses digital models to create products without expensive traditional cutting or casting machines [5]–[9]. It also outperforms all other technologies in producing multimaterial components and components with complex shapes [5], [10]–[13]. Meanwhile, a large number of raw resources can be

saved during the printing process. Biomedical, aerospace, automotive engineering, civil engineering, food, and other disciplines frequently use 3D printing components [14]. Too far, a variety of 3D printing technologies have been employed. Stereolithography (SLA) [15], Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) [16]–[19], and stereolithography (SLA) [20] are the four most frequently used methods.

In the manufacturing environment, 3D printers play a significant role [6], [7], [9]. The flexibility of printing motions in three-dimensional space makes it feasible to construct a range of complicated designs using a 3D printer. Making a product using a 3D printer begins with making a design first using design software such as Autodesk Fusion360, solid work, AutoCAD, and 3dmax. The results of this design are converted into the STereoLithography (STL) programming language and then printed using a 3D printing machine. Thus, the actual product is obtained [6]–[8].

Tensile testing is one way to test 3D Printer products. The product results from the 3D printing machine need to be known how strong the product can accept the load, then the printed product is tested for tensile with a method used to test the strength of the material by applying an axial force load tensile load [2]. The results obtained from tensile testing are significant for engineering and product design because they produce material strength data.

Materials that have received heat treatment and different forming processes will also have different macrostructures. PLA+ plastic material made with a 3D printer machine (infill and print direction variations) will be observed for its macrostructure.

Polylactide (PLA) is a biodegradable aliphatic polyester derived from lactic acid. It has similar mechanical properties to polyethylene terephthalate but has a much lower maximum continuous use temperature. PLA products can be recycled after smelting and processing the material a second time or by hydrolysis to lactic acid, a primary chemical [21].

3D printing is widely used in scientific research and engineering applications, from aerospace to biomedicine. However, little is known about the mechanical properties of 3D printed materials. The primary tensile strengths of PLA+ materials with varying infill and print orientations were explored by simulation and experimentally to aid mechanical analysis and structural design in 3D printing. Simulation models were first created to predict the ultimate tensile strength of PLA materials based on the hypothesis and then verified by tensile experiments.

The purpose of this study was to analyze the tensile properties experimentally and through simulate and observe the macro structure of PLA+ filaments with different filler parameters and printing directions using 3D printing technology.

2 Research Method

2.1 2.1. Materials and Tools

The material used in this study is Polylactic Acid (PLA+) with a gold color (Fig. 1). The specifications for PLA filaments can be seen in Table 1.



Fig. 1. PLA+ Material

Table 1. Properties of PLA+ (Shenzen Esun Industrial Co.Ltd.)

Specification	Value
Technical Name	Polylactic Acid (PLA)
Chemical Formula	(C3H4O2) n
Typical Injection	205 – 225 °C
Molding Temperature	
Tensile Strength	65 MPa
Flexural Strength	75 MPa
Specific Gravity	1.24 *****
Shrink Rate	PLLA: 0.37 - 0.41% (0.0037 - 0.0041
	in/in)

A 3D printing machine with the Anycubic i3 Mega brand was used to manufacture the tensile test specimen (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2. 3D printing machine [22]

2.2 Procedures for Work

The working procedures of this research are; a. Design tensile test specimens (standard ASTM D 638, Fig. 3) and static stress tests on Autodesk Fusion360; b. Calculate the weight of the tensile test object; c. Printing tensile test specimens with variations infill (60, 80, and 100%) and print direction (0, 45, and 90 degrees); and D. Experiment with macrostructure, weight, and tensile test (RTF Universal Testing Machine(RTF)



Fig. 3. Dimensions of Tensile Test Specimen

2.3 Printing Parameters

The parameters used to print the tensile test object are; a. nozzle temperature of 210°C; b. the layer thickness of 0.1 mm; c. the printing speed of 50 mm/s; d. travel speed of 100 mm/s; e. bed temperature of 60°C; f. print direction (0 deg, 45 deg, and 90 deg; and g. infill (60, 80, and 100%). The number of specimens used was 27 pieces. as shown in Fig. 4 $\frac{45 \text{ deg}}{45 \text{ deg}}$



Fig. 4. Print direction parameter.

3 Result and Discussion

Fig. 5 shows a photo of the macrostructure of the PLA+ material with variations in the density level (infill) and variations in the print direction. Based on Fig. 7, it can be seen that the higher the density level, the denser the structure.



Fig. 5. Macro Structure Observation Results (a) Infill 60%, (b) Infill 80% and (c) Infill 100%

Fig. 6 shows the characteristics of the PLA+ material parameters, the applied load, and the tensile test results data (tensile strength and elongation) on the tensile test specimen (100% infill) in a simulation using Autodesk Fusion360.

Based on Fig. 7, it can be seen that the higher the density level, the denser the structure

Materials			
omponent Mat	erial Safet	y Facto)r
dy1 PLA	+ Yield S	trength	
PIA +			
Density		1.04F	-06 ka / mm
Young's Modulus		2250	MPa
Poisson's Ratio		0.44	
Yield Strength		65 M	°a
Ultimate Tensile S	Strength	65 M	°a
Thermal Conduct	ivity	2.25E	-04 W / (mr
Thermal Expansion	n Coefficier	t 1.3E-	04 / C
Specific Heat		15000)] / (kg C)
Result Summa	iry		
Name	Minir	num	Maximum
Safety Factor			
Safety Factor (Per	Body) 0.603	5	7.451
Stress			
Von Mises	5.771	MPa	71.25 MPa
1st Principal	1.46	MPa	85 MPa
3rd Principal	-8.87	8 MPa	25.38 MPa
Normal XX	-2.97	9 MPa	77.47 MPa
Normal YY	-5.68	7 MPa	26.95 MPa
Normal ZZ	-8.8/	мра	26.95 MPa
Shear XY	-21./	и мръ	21.02 MPa
Shear 72	-1.43	+ mPa	2.451 MPa
Sliedi ZA	-3.08	5 mPa	5.451 MP8

Fig. 6. PLA+ Material Simulation Test Results

The simulation test results on PLA+ material can be seen in (Table 2).

Based on Fig. 6, it can be seen that the simulation results on PLA+ material obtained maximum tensile strength of 71.25 MPa and an elongation of 4.428 mm. The tensile strength from this simulation (71.25 MPa) is greater than the tensile strength of PLA+ material (65 MPa).



preliminary desain



Displacement

Safety Factory



Fig. 7 Simulation results of the tensile test (Static Stress) for PLA+ material

Table 2. Results of Simulation Ana	alysis of PLA+ Materials
------------------------------------	--------------------------

Responses	Minimum	Maximum
Von Misses	5 77 MDa	71 25 MDa
Stress	5.77 MIF a	/1.23 MIFa
Safety Factory	0.912	11.26
Displacement	0 mm	4.428 mm
Reaction Force	0 N	835.1 N
Strain	0.006401	0.06187

The simulation test results on PLA+ material can be seen in Table 2. Fig. 7 shows the tensile test parameters given in the simulation test. Based on the test results in Table 2, it is known that the maximum stress of PLA+ material is 71.25 MPa, a safety factor is 11.2, elongation is 4.428 mm, maximum tensile force is 835.1 N, and strain is 0.06187.

In this study, the weight measurement of the tensile test specimen was also carried out in a simulation (using the Simplify3D application) and the weight measurement using a digital scale. Table 3 shows the results of the simulation and experimental weight measurements.

Table 3. Measurement of PLA+ Material Weight

Print	Infill (%)	Weight (Gram)		
Direction (°)		Simulation	Experiment	
0	60	9.73	8.40	
	80	11.55	10.00	
	100	13.11	11.30	
45	60	9.82	8.40	
	80	11.53	10.00	
	100	13.24	11.30	
90	60	9.82	8.40	
	80	11.53	10.00	
	100	13.23	11.40	
	Print Direction (°) 0 45 90	Print Infill (%) Direction (°) 60 0 60 100 100 45 60 100 80 100 60 90 60 80 100 90 60 100 100	Print Direction (°) Infill (%) Simulation 0 60 9.73 80 11.55 100 13.11 45 60 9.82 80 11.53 100 13.24 90 60 9.82 80 11.53 100 13.24 90 60 9.82 80 11.53 100 13.23	

It is known that the weight of the simulated specimen is more than the weight of the experimental tensile test specimen based on the measurement findings of the weight of the tensile test specimen in Table 3. The density level of 100 percent is heavier than the density levels of 80 percent and 60 percent when seen from the density level (infill) perspective. The weight of the tensile test specimen is roughly the same when seen from the printing direction at varying density levels.

Table 4 shows the tensile testing results on PLA+ materials using a universal tensile testing machine. The relationship between the density/direction of the print and the tensile strength can be seen in Figs 8 and 9.

Table 4. The tensile strength of PLA+ material

		0	-		
No.	Infill (%)	Print Direction (deg)	Weight (gram)	Load (N)	Tensile Strength (MPa)
1	60	0	8.4	2350	47.0
		45	8.4	2660	53.2
		90	8.4	3170	63.4
2	80	0	10.0	2450	49.0
		45	10.0	2890	57.8
		90	10.0	3490	69.8
3	100	0	11.3	2720	54.4
		45	11.3	3100	62.0
		90	11.4	3620	72.4



■ 0 Deg. ■ 45 Deg,. ■ 90 Deg.



According to the findings of this tensile test, the most significant tensile strength occurs at a density level of 100 percent, followed by 80 percent, and finally 60 percent. This demonstrates that the density level impacts the tensile strength value. The tensile strength of PLA+ plastic filament (65 MPa) is lower than the simulation test results (71.25 MPa) and higher than the experimental test results (29.8 MPa).



Fig. 9 Graph of the relationship between print direction and tensile strength of PLA+ material

When seen from the printing direction for the same specimen density level, the most extensive tensile strength is at 90°, followed by 45° , and finally 0°. This occurs because the print direction coincides with the direction of the tensile axis, resulting in a higher tensile strength compared to the tensile strength in the printing direction at 45° and 0° angles. This study is based on the findings of prior research [9], [14]. The comparison of test results in reference revealed that the UTS of 3D printed materials changed dramatically with changes in printing angle [14]. The enormous UTS gap is 52.29 percent, between 0° and 90° 3D printed materials with a layer thickness of 0.1 mm. Tensile strength response is also investigated in the friction welding process [23], [24].

It is known that the tensile strength of the simulation results is greater than the tensile strength experimentally. There was a substantial difference in the level of tensile strength between the simulation and experimental tests (42 MPa). This shows that there is a phase change of the PLA+ material when it is melted and printed with a 3D machine. In the printing process, each layer is formed with a certain thickness, infill, and print direction so as to form different polymer bonds and have an impact on the tensile strength.

Table 5 shows the results of the measurement of elongation and calculation of tensile strain (elongation) on PLA+ material. Based on the calculation of the tensile strain on the PLA+ material, it is known that the highest tensile strain (4.86%) occurs at an 80% infill parameter with a 45° printing direction. When viewed from the print direction, the most considerable tensile strain occurs at an angle of 45° at the same fibre density level.

Table 5. Elongation Properties of PLA+ Materials

No	Infill	Print Direction	W	ΔT	Flongate
110.	mjui	I fint Direction	vv	ΔL	Liongate
	(%)	(deg)	(gram)	(mm)	(%)
1	60	0	8,4	0,89	1,48
2	60	45	8,4	1,3	2,16
3	60	90	8,4	1,02	1,70
4	80	0	10,0	0,96	1,60
5	80	45	10,0	2,92	4,86
6	80	90	10,0	1,73	2,88
7	100	0	11,3	1,12	1,86
8	100	45	11,3	2,25	3,73
9	100	90	11,4	0,94	1,56

Based on the preceding data analysis, it is clear that the density level (infill) and print orientation have an impact on the three replies (weight, tensile strength, and tensile strain). The weight and tensile strength values increase as the density level (infill) increases. Meanwhile, the tensile strain remains generally constant as the density level (infill) increases. The higher the tensile strength in the printing direction, the bigger the angle of the imprint, but the weight of the tensile specimen and the tensile strain are relatively the same.

4 Conclusion

The characteristics of the PLA+ material (macrostructure, weight, tensile strength, tensile strain) have been simulated and experimentally produced through a 3D printer. The conclusions of this study are; a). The characteristics of PLA+ materials (macrostructure, weight, tensile strength, tensile strain) simulated and experimentally produced by 3D printers are different. The difference in tensile strength between the simulation and the experimental is quite significant, b). The density level (infill) and print direction affect the three responses (weight, tensile strength, and tensile strength). The higher the density level (infill), the higher the weight value and tensile strength.

Meanwhile, the higher the density level (infill), the tensile strain is relatively the same, and c). The print direction angle affects the tensile strength; the more significant the print angle, the higher the tensile strength. However, the tensile specimen weight and tensile strain are relatively the same.

Reference

- Y. Y. Tanoto, J. Anggono, I. H. Siahaan, and W. Budiman, "The effect of orientation difference in fused deposition modeling of ABS polymer on the processing time, dimension accuracy, and strength," in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2017, vol. 1788, no. 1, p. 30051.
- [2] C. W. Hull, "The birth of 3D printing," *Res. Manag.*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 25–30, 2015.
- [3] M. G. A. Mohamed, H. Kumar, Z. Wang, N. Martin, B. Mills, and K. Kim, "Rapid and inexpensive fabrication of multi-depth microfluidic device using high-resolution LCD stereolithographic 3D printing," *J. Manuf. Mater. Process.*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 26, 2019.
- [4] J. Huang, Q. Qin, and J. Wang, "A review of stereolithography: Processes and systems," *Processes*, vol. 8, no. 9, p. 1138, 2020.
- [5] T. D. Ngo, A. Kashani, G. Imbalzano, K. T. Q. Nguyen, and D. Hui, "Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 143, pp. 172–196, 2018.
- [6] X. Wang, M. Jiang, Z. Zhou, J. Gou, and D. Hui, "3D printing of polymer matrix composites: A review and prospective," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 110, pp. 442–458, 2017.
- [7] P. Parandoush and D. Lin, "A review on additive manufacturing of polymer-fiber composites," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 182, pp. 36–53, 2017.
- [8] J. Kiendl and C. Gao, "Controlling toughness and strength of FDM 3D-printed PLA components through the raster layup," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 180, p. 107562, 2020.
- [9] G. W. Melenka, J. S. Schofield, M. R. Dawson, and J. P. Carey, "Evaluation of dimensional accuracy and material properties of the MakerBot 3D desktop printer," *Rapid Prototyp. J.*, 2015.
- [10] J. Justo, L. Távara, L. García-Guzmán, and F. París, "Characterization of 3D printed long fibre reinforced composites," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 185, pp. 537–548, 2018.
- [11] R. T. L. Ferreira, I. C. Amatte, T. A. Dutra, and D. Bürger, "Experimental characterization and micrography of 3D printed PLA and PLA reinforced with short carbon fibers," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 124, pp. 88–100, 2017.
- [12] S. A. Hinchcliffe, K. M. Hess, and W. V Srubar III, "Experimental and theoretical investigation of prestressed natural fiber-reinforced polylactic acid (PLA) composite materials," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 95, pp. 346–354,

2016.

- [13] G. W. Melenka, B. K. O. Cheung, J. S. Schofield, M. R. Dawson, and J. P. Carey, "Evaluation and prediction of the tensile properties of continuous fiber-reinforced 3D printed structures," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 153, pp. 866–875, 2016.
- [14] T. Yao, Z. Deng, K. Zhang, and S. Li, "A method to predict the ultimate tensile strength of 3D printing polylactic acid (PLA) materials with different printing orientations," *Compos. Part B Eng.*, vol. 163, pp. 393–402, 2019.
- [15] Z. Hou, X. Tian, J. Zhang, and D. Li, "3D printed continuous fibre reinforced composite corrugated structure," *Compos. Struct.*, vol. 184, pp. 1005–1010, 2018.
- [16] N. H. Tho, T. C. Minh, and N. P. Tai, "The effect of infill pattern, infill density, printing speed and temperature on the additive manufacturing process based on the FDM technology for the hook-shaped components," *J. Polimesin*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2020.
- [17] N. H. Tho, N. K. Dien, T. T. Tho, N. V Thanh, and N. V. A. Duy, "Application of topology optimization technique in sand casting process of a complex product based on FDM 3D printing technology," *J. Polimesin*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 122– 132, 2021.
- [18] C. K. Chua and K. F. Leong, 3D Printing and additive manufacturing: Principles and applications (with companion media pack)-of rapid prototyping. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2014.
- [19] D. Pham and S. S. Dimov, *Rapid manufacturing: the technologies and applications of rapid prototyping and rapid tooling*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [20] Y. Li and D. Gu, "Parametric analysis of thermal behavior during selective laser melting additive manufacturing of aluminum alloy powder," *Mater. Des.*, vol. 63, pp. 856–867, 2014.
- [21] M. Qahtani, F. Wu, M. Misra, S. Gregori, D. F. Mielewski, and A. K. Mohanty, "Experimental design of sustainable 3Dprinted poly (lactic acid)/biobased poly (butylene succinate) blends via fused deposition modeling," ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., vol. 7, no. 17, pp. 14460–14470, 2019.
- [22] Anycubic, "Anycubic i3 Mega Printer." 2019.
- [23] M. Iswar and R. Nur, "Effect of friction welding conditions on tensile strength and hardness of AISI 310 stainless steel joints," in *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 2018.
- [24] R. Nur, A. Z. Sultan, and M. A. Suyuti, "Mechanical properties on friction stir welding of aluminum alloy 5052," *ARPN J. Eng. Appl. Sci.*, 2017.