

View My Stats

1/2

 \checkmark

Select Language

JOURNAL CONTENT

English

Submit

Search

Editorial Team

| Search Scope |
|----------------|
| All 🗸 |
| Search |
| Browse |
| By Issue |
| By Author |
| By Title |
| Other Journals |
| |

FONT SIZE



KEYWORDS

Anthocyanins, natural dyes, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli Design Flood Discharge Kelara Watershed (DAS) Leakage Measured Discharge Data Membership Motorized Valve RAD Synthetic Unit Hydrograph System THD Turbine Pit. UML active compounds, Artocarpus anisophyllus, thin layer chromatography (TLC), Spectrophotometer UV-Vis agile methodology android application chatroom consultation lecturer student

Journal Help

www.fabbly.com



Search

Muhammad Ruswandi Djalal, Makmur Saini, A.M Shiddiq Yunus 10.31963/intek.v9i1.3742 **PVSYST-Based Solar Power Plant Planning** 89-92 Muhammad Alif Anrizal Akbar, A.M Shiddiq Yunus, Jumadi Tangko 10.31963/intek.v9i1.3789

Effect of Heat Treatment Temperature on Tensile Strenght through Welding of Mild Carbon Steel

Power Flow Analysis in N-1 Contingency Conditions Due to the Entry of Renewable Power Plants in

Publisher by:

Politeknik Negeri Ujung Pandang Kampus 1 Gedung Administrasi Lantai II Jalan Perintis Kemerdekaan KM.10 Tamalanrea Makassar 90245 E-mail: intek@poliupg.ac.id

INTEK Indexed by:

LEMBAGA ILMU PENGETAHUAN INDONESIA **()** Google Rearuda 🛜 🔰 Crossref onesearch Source WorldCat* **A**sînta **PKP**|INDEX

Member of :





This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



View My Stats



FONT SIZE

KEYWORDS

Anthocyanins, natural dyes, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli Design Flood Discharge Kelara Watershed (DAS) Leakage Measured Discharge Data Membership Motorized Valve RAD Synthetic Unit Hydrograph System THD Turbine Pit. UML active compounds, Artocarpus anisophyllus, thin layer chromatography (TLC), Spectrophotometer UV-Vis agile methodology android application chatroom consultation lecturer student

Journal Help

www.fabbly.com

Vol 9, No 1 (2022)

Search Scope All \sim Search Browse By Issue By Author By Title Other Journals

PDF 78-81

PDF 82-88

PDF

| | 7/26/23, | 10:56 | ΡM |
|--|----------|-------|----|
|--|----------|-------|----|

Henny P Nari

10.31963/intek.v9i1.3733

the Sulselrabar System

Extraction Optimization of Pigeon Pea Seed Protein and Yield Using Response Surface Methodology

Sri Indriati^{1,a,*}, Muhammad Yusuf^{1,b}, Muhammad Irsal^{1,c}, Mahyati Latief^{1,d}, Nuraeni Umar², Nur Fitriani UA^{3,e}

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Politeknik Negeri Ujung Pandang, Makassar City, 90245, Indonesia.

² Department of Electrical Engineering, Politeknik Negeri Ujung Pandang, Makassar City, 90245, Indonesia.

³ Department of Agroindustry, Politeknik Pertanian Negeri Pangkep, Pangkep Regency, 90761, Indonesia.

^{a,*} indriati.sri59@gmail.com (Corresponding Author),^b yusufitri@poliupg.ac,id,^cirsal821@gmail.com, ^d mahyatikimia@poliupg.ac.id,^e nurfitriani.attahmid@polipangkep.ac.id



Abstract— Pigeon Pea (Casanus Cajan L.) is one of the local foods in South Sulawesi. Pigeon pea seeds contain protein that the body can absorb. This study aims to determine the optimum operating conditions for extracting pigeon pea on protein and yield using Ultrasonic-Assisted Extraction (UAE). Response Surface Methodology (RSM) with Box-Behnken Design (BBD) was used by considering three variables, time (30, 40, and 50 min), temperature (40, 50, and 60°C), and methanol concentration (50, 70, and 90%). The experiment results show that yield ranges from 8.85663% to 31.4766% and 46.1538 mg/l to 15584.6 mg/l. Response variables were computed and used to create the contour plot graphs. The optimum operating conditions for sonication extraction were a time of 44.760 minutes, a temperature of 60° C, and a 90% methanol concentration.

Keywords: Pigeon Pea; Ultrasonic-Assisted Extraction; Protein; Yield; Response Surface Methodology; Box-Behnken Design

I. Introduction

Pigeon Pea (*Casanus Cajan L.*) is one of the local foods in South Sulawesi. The Bugis-Makassar community knows Pigeon Pea as '*Kence*' or '*Bintutoeng*'. This plant can withstand heat and dryness, so it is suitable as a green plant in dry areas. Local people usually consume it with pigeon pea skin as a cheap vegetable protein because it can grow on dry soil.

According to Asouzu and Umerah [1], pigeon pea seed has 326.20 kcal, carbohydrates 63.78%, ash 2.27%, fiber 0.45%, fat 1.68%, water content 12.81%, and 19.01% protein. The content of dissolved protein is a consideration for consuming grains because the protein can be absorbed by the body [2]. Amino acids are essential for protein synthesis in muscle growth, while testosterone, an androgen hormone, plays a role in reproduction by increasing libido and spermatozoa creation [3]. Therefore, it is necessary to use it by extracting it from natural materials easily found in the local community, such as pigeon pea seed.

According to Akintayo et al.[4], 21.5% protein was extracted from pigeon pea seed using alkali NaOH at a pH of 8.5. Mizubuti et al.[5] did the same by varying the effect of NaCl on pigeon pea seeds. However, extraction without adding NaCl yielded a higher protein concentration of 75%. Adenekan et al.[6] employed a different technique involving methanol and acetone as solvents. The higher protein content was 91.83% and 91.73%. In addition to selecting the solvent, selecting the extraction method is also crucial, as it can affect the protein content of the extract. Ultrasonic-assisted extraction (UAE) is one of the extraction methods.

UAE is an extraction method using ultrasonic waves with a higher frequency of 16-20 kHz. Ultrasonic waves have non-destructive and non-massive properties. Extraction with ultrasonic waves and organic solvents on grains will occur more quickly. This is because ultrasonic waves will break the cell walls of the material so that the content in it can come out quickly [7]. UAE has advantages such as being easy to use and safe because it is at atmospheric pressure at room temperature, and the extraction process is fast [8].

Extraction optimization of yield and protein in pigeon pea seed was carried out using Response Surface Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 66-72, April 2022

Methodology (RSM). RSM is an effective statistical method to optimize the extraction process. RSM can identify various interaction variables by specifying the applicable mathematical equations. Optimizing RSM does not require much data. Optimal response conditions can be obtained quickly and at minimal costs [9]. The design used in this RSM method is Box-Behnken. After obtaining the surface response function, a combination of the existing factors can be sought to produce the expected response [10]. Therefore, in this study, the optimization of pigeon pea seed extraction was carried out with the help of ultrasonic waves using RSM with the Box-Behnken design on the Design Expert software. This study could predict the effect of parameters from temperature, extraction time, and the ratio of methanol concentration to samples in pigeon pea seed using the RSM method as an optimization technique.

II. Research Methodology

2.1 Materials

Dried Pigeon Pea Seeds (Fig. 1) were purchased from the local market. Seeds were ground using a grinder and stored at room temperature until use. Methanol, distilled water, Folin-Ciocalteau reagent, sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), Copper Sulfate (CuSO₄), Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), and Potassium Sodium Tartrate (KNaC₄H₄O₆·4H₂O). Most of the chemicals are used analytical grade and were used without purification.



Figure 1. Pigeon Pea Seeds (Casanus Cajan L.)

2.2 Experimental Design

The effect of four independent variables, X1 (time), X2 (Temperature), and X3 (solvent concentration) at three levels on yield and protein (dependent variable),

were investigated using Box-Behnken Design (BBD) and Response Surface Methodology (RSM). Data were analysed using the software Design Expert 11.

Table 1. Actual and coded levels of the independent variables for BBD

| Independent | Code | Т | reatment Cod | e |
|------------------|-------|----|--------------|----|
| Variable | Code | -1 | 0 | +1 |
| Time (Min) | X1 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| Temperature (°C) | X_2 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| MeOH (%) | X3 | 50 | 70 | 90 |

The BBD design assumed that interactions with each other are measured using a second-order polynomial model as below.

$$\begin{split} Y &= b_0 + b_1 \; X_1 + b_2 \; X_2 + b_3 \; X_3 + b_{12} \; X_1 X_2 + b_{13} \; X_1 X_3 + b_{23} \\ X_2 X_3 + b_{11} \; X^2_1 + b_{22} \; X^2_2 + b_{33} \; X^2_3 + \epsilon \end{split}$$

Where Y represents the predicted response value; X_1 , X_2 , and X_3 are the independent variables; b_0 represents the theoretical mean value of the response when all factors are in the level 0; b_1 , b_2 , and b_3 are linear regression coefficients; b_{11} , b_{22} , b_{33} are quadratic regression coefficients; b_{12} , b_{13} , b_{23} are interaction regression coefficients; and ε : is the regression error term.

2.3 Extraction of Pigeon Pea Seed and Yield of Extraction

Pigeon Pea Seed (30 g) was extracted for protein with different levels of independent variables (Time 30-50 min, Temperature 40–60 °C, solvent concentration 50-70%) using ultrasonic-assisted extraction methods (Elma Easy Ultrasonic 10 H) with a frequency of 37 kHz. The extracts were concentrated in a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure (Buchi Rotavapor R-100).

The yield (%) of the extraction was evaluated by comparing the weight of pigeon pea seed extract (Wpe) with the weight of dry pigeon pea seed (Wdp)

$$Yield (\%) = (Wpe/Wdp) \times 100$$
 (2)

2.4 Protein Analysis (Lowry's Method) [11]

Lowry's reagent A was prepared by mixing Folin Ciocelteau's reagent with distilled water in a ratio of 1:1. Lowry's reagent B was prepared by mixing 50 ml of a solution of 2% Na₂CO₃ in 0.1 N NaOH with 1 ml of a solution of 1% CuSO₄ and 1% potassium sodium tartrate in distilled water. The protein solution was made using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) with concentrations of 30 ppm, 60 ppm, 90 ppm, and 120 ppm.

Place 250 μ l of protein solution in a test tube, add 2 ml of Lowry's reagent B, and wait for ten minutes. Then, add 250 μ l of Lowry's reagent A, shake, and let stand for twenty minutes. Insert the sample into a spectrophotometer with a 600 nm wavelength and read the sample's absorbance. Absorbance and concentration data are graphed using a linear equation (y= ax+b). The sample undergoes the same process, and the absorbance values are then inserted into the previous equation.

III. Results and Discussion

3.1 Box-Behnken Design Results

Optimization extraction of yield and protein content from pigeon pea seed was carried out using the Box-Behnken (BBD) design. Table 2 shows the independent variables or factors that influence the extraction in the form of extraction time (minutes), temperature (°C), and solvent concentration (%).

Table 2. Matrix of BBD and results for yield and protein

| R | Independent Variables | | | Response | | |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------|---------|--|
| u n | X, | X ₂ | X ₂ | Yield | Protein | |
| | 211 | 212 | 215 | (%) | (mg/l) | |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 8,85663 | 5430,77 | |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 12,976 | 6330,77 | |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 22,651 | 4892,31 | |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 31,4766 | 584,615 | |
| 5 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 17,9126 | 1407,69 | |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 29,8819 | 507,692 | |
| 7 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 15,5291 | 5046,15 | |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 16,4735 | 9138,46 | |
| 9 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 17,2321 | 5969,23 | |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 22,9213 | 9584,62 | |
| 11 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 14,0179 | 12046,2 | |
| 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 16,9803 | 15584,6 | |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,3278 | 2892,31 | |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,3139 | 638,462 | |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,8589 | 46,1538 | |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,7741 | 3200 | |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,5115 | 869,231 | |

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.31963/intek. v9i1.3530

To identify the effect of independent variables from the extraction process using the sonication method, an analysis that functions as a response was carried out, such as yield and lowry method protein analysis. The experiment results show that yield ranges from 8.85663% to 31.4766% and 46.1538 mg/l to 15584.6 mg/l. Different responses confirm a relationship between independent variables that can affect the extraction results.

3.2 Analysis Yield

Table 3 displays the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) results for the extract yield response. The p-value is 0.0030, which is below 0.05. Hence the linear model is statistically significant.

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F- valu e | p-value | |
|----------------|-------------------|----|----------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|
| Model | 370.96 | 3 | 123.65 | 7.88 | 0.003 | Significant |
| X_1 | 83.58 | 1 | 83.58 | 5.33 | 0.038 | |
| X_2 | 209.58 | 1 | 209.58 | 13.4 | 0.0029 | |
| X ₃ | 77.79 | 1 | 77.79 | 4.96 | 0.0442 | |
| Residual | 203.91 | 13 | 15.69 | | | |
| Lack of Fit | 133.38 | 9 | 14.82 | 0.84 | 0.6215 | Not Significant |
| Pure Error | 70.53 | 4 | 17.63 | | | |
| Cor Total | 574.87 | 16 | | | | |

Table 3. Statistical Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) yield



Figure 2. Effect of Time (minutes) and Temperature (°C) at 70% concentration on yield (a) 3D Surface plot, (b) Contour plot

The model selection is based on the adjusted R^2 coefficient, where the linear model's value of 0.5634 is greater than the quadratic model's value of 0.5376. The p-values for the independent variables, such as time,

temperature, and concentration, are 0.038, 0.0029, and 0.0442, respectively, and p<0.05. These values indicate that the yield of the extract is affected by time, temperature, and concentration.

The Figure 2 shows a linear surface. High temperatures increase the mass transfer coefficient of a component, hence accelerating the movement of particles toward the solvent [12]. The increase in time and temperature will affect the yield, resulting in a higher yield. It is found at a time of 50 minutes and a temperature of 60 °C with a yield percentage of 31.4766%. At a temperature of 40 °C and a time of 30 minutes, the yield is low, 8.85663%.



temperature (°C) on yield (a) at 50% MeOH, (b) at 90% MeOH

The rise in concentration, however, was inversely proportionate to the amount of extract produced. In Figure 3, the surface of the graph will decrease in direct proportion to the concentration rise. At 30 minutes and a temperature of 40°C, the 50% concentration produced more extract than the 90% concentration, 13.22%, and 7.08%, respectively. The type of substance extracted is dependent on the methanol solvent's concentration. In addition, an increase in the water content in the solvent will cause the sample to swell to increase the contact between the sample matrix and the solvent, which contributes to an increase in sample yield [13].

Yield (%) =
$$18,3938 + 3,23234X_1 + 5,11831X_2 - 3,11839X_3$$
 (3)

Equation 1 shows the linear equation of the extract yield. This equation can predict the conditions of the extraction process to produce the optimum yield of pigeon pea seed extract. The coefficients on time, temperature, and concentration, respectively, are 3.23234, 5.11831, and -3.11839. The coefficient value for the temperature and time parameters is positive, indicating that an increase in temperature and time can result in an increased extract yield. On the other hand, a negative concentration coefficient gives opposite results.

3.3 Analysis of Protein

Based on table 3, the quadratic model is a significant model with a p-value of 0.0126 for the analysis of protein content. The model selection is based on the R^2 coefficient, which has a value of 0.8884 compared to other models, such as the linear model with an R^2 value of 0.2293. The time, temperature and concentration factors have p-values respectively 0.9742; 0.8962; and 0.0068.

Table 4. Statistical Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) protein

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value | p- value | Info |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----|----------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Model | 2,875E+08 | 9 | 3,194E+07 | 6,19 | 0,0126 | Signifi -cant |
| X_1 | 5798,73 | 1 | 5798,73 | 0,0011 | 0,9742 | |
| X ₂ | 94435,74 | 1 | 94435,74 | 0,0183 | 0,8962 | |
| X ₃ | 7,409E+07 | 1 | 7,409E+07 | 14,37 | 0,0068 | |
| X_1X_2 | 6,780E+06 | 1 | 6,780E+06 | 1,31 | 0,2892 | |
| X_1X_3 | 6,231E+06 | 1 | 6,231E+06 | 1,21 | 0,3081 | |
| X_2X_3 | 1481,07 | 1 | 1481,07 | 0,0003 | 0,9870 | |
| X^{2}_{1} | 1,676E+07 | 1 | 1,676E+07 | 3,25 | 0,1144 | |
| X_{2}^{2} | 9,603E+07 | 1 | 9,603E+07 | 18,62 | 0,0035 | |
| X ² ₃ | 8,493E+07 | 1 | 8,493E+07 | 16,47 | 0,0048 | |
| Residu al | 3,610E+07 | 7 | 5,157E+06 | | | |
| Lack of Fit | 2,802E+07 | 3 | 9,341E+06 | 4,63 | 0,0866 | Not Signif- icant |
| Pure Error | 8,078E+06 | 4 | 2,020E+06 | | | |
| Cor Total | 3,236E+08 | 16 | | | | |



Figure 4. Effect of MeOH (%) and time (minutes) at 50°C on protein (a) 3D Surface plot, (b) Contour plot

The lack of fit is a test value that checks the linear relationship between the independent and dependent variables. The p-value on the lack of fit above 0.05, which is 0.0866, indicates that it is not significant, therefore the model used is appropriate and has a linearity relationship.

Figure 4 depicts the impact of time and temperature on the effect of dissolved protein in a sample. The amount of protein in the sample is affected by the rise in solvent concentration. The sample contains a protein extract between 6000 and 8000 mg/l with a methanol concentration of 90%. At 50 minutes and a concentration of MeOH 90%, the protein content in the sample was 9138.46 mg/l, while at the time of 30 minutes with the same concentration, the dissolved protein was 5046.15 mg/l.



Figure 5. Effect of Temperature (°C) and time (minutes) at concentration MeOH 70% on protein (a) 3D Surface plot, (b) Contour plot

In addition to time and concentration, the temperature can also affect the amount of protein in the sample. Long-term exposure to high temperatures will denature the protein, reducing the sample's protein concentration [14]. In Figure 5, there was a decrease of 2657.69 mg/l protein content at a temperature of 60 °C and a time of 50 minutes. On the other hand, at 40 °C and 50 minutes, there was an increase of 2552 mg/l.

| Protein | (mg/l) | =1529,23 - | 26,9229X ₁ | + | 108,648X ₂ | + |
|---------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| | | 3043,27X ₃ | $-1301,92X_{1}$ | X ₂ - | + 1248,08X | $_1X_3$ |
| | | - 19,24232 | $X_2X_3 - 1995,3$ | 39X ² | ² 1+ 4775,782 | X^2_2 |
| | | + 4491,162 | X^{2}_{3} | | | (4) |

Equation 2 shows the quadratic equation of the protein. This equation can predict the conditions of the extraction process to produce the optimum protein extract of pigeon pea seed. The time, temperature, and concentration coefficients are -26,9229, 108,648, and 3043.27, respectively. The coefficient values for the temperature and concentration components have positive values, indicating that an increase in temperature and concentration. On the other hand, a negative time coefficient value suggests that a more extended period will result in a lower protein concentration.

3.4 Optimization of Extraction Conditions

Time, temperature, and concentration are optimized in 30-50 minutes, 40-60°C and 50-90%. Protein and yield are optimized responses with maximum targets but have different levels of importance. The protein response has a high importance level, 5 (+++++), while the yield has a 3 (+++) importance level. This is because the protein content in the extract is a crucial consideration compared to yield.

Table 4. Optimized Response Components, Goal, Limits, and Importance at the Formula Optimization stage

| Name | Goal | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | Importa- nce |
|-------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| A: Time | is in range | 30 | 50 | +++ |
| B: Temperature | is in range | 40 | 60 | +++ |
| C:MeOH (%) | is in range | 50 | 90 | +++ |
| Yield | maximize | 8,85663 | 31,4766 | + |
| Protein | maximize | 46,1538 | 15584,6 | +++++ |

Table 5. Formulas result in the optimization stage

| No | Time (Min) | Suhu (°C) | MeOH (%) | Yield | Protein | Desira -bility |
|----|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| 1 | 44.760 | 60.000 | 90.000 | 21.932 | 13438.3 | 0.742 |
| 2 | 46.160 | 60.000 | 90.000 | 22.385 | 13121.8 | 0.740 |
| 3 | 44.469 | 60.000 | 89.767 | 21.875 | 13348.5 | 0.738 |

Based on the optimization process, there are three optimum operating conditions. Process conditions with a time of 44,760 minutes, a temperature of 60 0 C, and a

Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 66-72, April 2022

concentration of 90% methanol are recommended as the optimal formula solution because this process condition has a high desirability value of 0.742. The desirability value is a value to determine the degree of accuracy of the optimal solution [15]. The desirability value is closer to one, the higher the accuracy value. The operating conditions in formula number 1 have yield and protein response values, 13438.3 mg/l and 21.932%. Formula 1 has a higher value in protein, so the optimal operating conditions for extraction of pigeon pea seed are at 44,760 minutes, a temperature of 60 °C, and a methanol concentration of 90%.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the results obtained in this study, the following conclusions were obtained:

- 1. The experiment results showed that the yield ranged from 8.85663-31.4766%, and protein had a range of 46.1538-15584.6 mg/l. Different responses confirm a relationship between independent variables that can affect the extraction results.
- Optimal operating conditions for extraction based on yield and protein response in pigeon pea seed extract were at a time of 44,760 minutes, a temperature of 60 °C, and a MeOH concentration of 90% methanol with a desirability of 0.742.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Politeknik Negeri Ujung Pandang for supporting this research through BOPTN funding by research scheme in 2022.

References

- A. I. Asouzu and N. N. Umerah, "Effects of Malting on Nutritional Characteristics of Pigeon Pea (Cajanus cajan)", Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2020, doi: 10.9734/ajbgmb/2020/v3i230083.
- [2] T. D. N. S. H. Purwoko and S. H. Noor, "Kandungan Protein Total Dan Terlarut Kecap Manis Tanpa Fermentasi Moromi Hasil Fermentasi R. oryzae dan R. oligosporus", (Total and Dissolved Protein Content of Sweet Soy Sauce Without Fermentation Moromi Fermented R. oryzae and R. oligosporus), Penelitian Dosen Muda. Surakarta: Universitas Sebelas Maret, 2006.

- [3] R. Karnila, M. Astawan, and T. Wresdiyati, "Karakteristik Konsentrat Protein Teripang (Holothuria scabra J.) dengan Bahan Pengekstrak Aseton", (Characteristics of Sea Cucumber Protein Concentrate (Holothuria scabra J.) with Acetone Extraction), Jurnal Perikanan dan Kelautan, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2011.
- [4] E. T. Akintayo, A. A. Oshodi, and K. O. Esuoso, "Effects of NaCl, ionic strength and pH on the foaming and gelation of pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) protein concentrates," *Food Chemistry*, Vol. 66, No. 1, 1999, doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(98)00155-1.
- [5] I. Y. Mizubuti, O. Biondo Júnior, L. W. de Oliveira Souza, R. S. dos Santos Ferreira Da Silva, and E. I. Ida, "Response surface methodology for extraction optimization of pigeon pea protein," Food Chemistry, Vol. 70, No. 2, 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0308-8146(00)00078-9.
- [6] M. K. Adenekan, G. J. Fadimu, L. A. Odunmbaku, and E. K. Oke, "Effect of isolation techniques on the characteristics of pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan) protein isolates," Food Science and Nutrition, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2018, doi: 10.1002/fsn3.539.
- [7] A. Adhiksana, "Perbandingan Metode Konvensional Ekstraksi Pektin dari Kulit Buah Pisang dengan Metode Ultrasonik", (Comparison of Conventional Methods of Extracting Pectin From Banana Peel With Ultrasonic Method), Journal of Research and Technology, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2017.
- [8] N. Medina-Torres, T. Avora-Talavera, H. Espinosa-Andrews, A. Sánchez-Contreras, and N. Pacheco, "Ultrasound assisted extraction for the recovery of from phenolic compounds vegetable sources," Agronomy, Vol. 7, No. 3, 2017. doi: 10.3390/agronomy7030047.
- [9] Nuryanti and D. H. Salimy, "Metode Permukaan Respon dan Aplikasinya pada Optimasi Eksperimen Kimia", (Response Surface Methods and Their Applications in Chemical Experiment Optimization), Jurnal Risalah Lokakarya Komputasi dalam Sains dan Teknologi Nuklir, Vol. 21, No. 021, 2008.
- [10] F. K. Nursal, Y. C. Sumirtapura, T. Suciati, and R. E. Kartasasmita, "Optimasi Nanoemulsi Natrium Askorbil Fosfat melalui Pendekatan Design of Experiment (Metode Box Behnken)", (Optimization of Sodium Ascorbyl Phosphate Nanoemulsion through Design of Experiment Approach (Box Behnken Method)), Jurnal Sains Farmasi & Klinis, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2019, doi: 10.25077/jsfk.6.3.228-236.2019.
- [11] M. G. M. Purwanto, "Perbandingan Analisa Kadar Protein Terlarut dengan Berbagai Metode Spektroskopi UV-Visible," Jurnal Ilmiah Sains & Teknologi, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2014.
- [12] Desta Donna Putri Damanik, Nurhayati Surbakti, and Rosdanelli Hasibuan, "Ekstraksi Katekin dari Daun Gambir (Uncaria Gambir Roxb) dengan Metode

Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 66-72, April 2022

Maserasi", (Extraction of Catechins from Gambir (Uncaria Gambir Roxb) Leaves by Maceration Method), Jurnal Teknik Kimia USU, Vol. 3, No. 2, 2014, doi: 10.32734/jtk.v3i2.1606.

[13] I. S. Che Sulaiman, M. Basri, H. R. Fard Masoumi, W. J. Chee, S. E. Ashari, and M. Ismail, "Effects of Temperature, Time, and Solvent Ratio on the Extraction of Phenolic Compounds and the Anti-Radical Activity of Clinacanthus Nutans Lindau Leaves by Response Surface Methodology," Chemistry Central Journal, Vol. 11, No. 1, 2017, doi: 10.1186/s13065-017-0285-1.

- [14] M. Pojić, A. Mišan, and B. Tiwari, "Eco-Innovative Technologies for Extraction of Proteins for Human Consumption from Renewable Protein Sources of Plant Origin," Trends in Food Science and Technology, Vol. 75. 2018. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2018.03.010.
- [15] D. C. Montgomery, "Design and analysis of experiments," John Wiley & Sons. Quinta edición. New York, 2001.