

[ICITACEE 2018] Your paper #1570462031 ('Predictive Control for Relative Performance Management')

3 messages

icitacee@live.undip.ac.id.edas.info <icitacee@live.undip.ac.id.edas.info>

23 July 2018 at 15:14

Reply-To: icitacee@live.undip.ac.id

To: Dharma Aryani <dharma.aryani@poliupg.ac.id>, Nur Asyik Hidayatullah <asyik@pnm.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Dharma Aryani:

Congratulations - your paper #1570462031 ('Predictive Control for Relative Performance Management') for ICITACEE 2018 has been ACCEPTED. Please make the necessary changes based on reviewers' comments and suggestions. For your information, your paper has similarity score of 30%. According to IEEE regulations, similarity score of camera-ready paper should be less than 30%. The Technical Paper Committee will check whether the revision has been performed or not. If you fail to do so, we have a right to exclude your paper from the proceedings.

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570462031>.

We would like your cooperation with the double check of your paper:

- (1) For the copyright: Please ensure you process the copyright.
- (2) For the paper final version: Please Strictly use and follow to IEEE template Manuscripts (Word Format): http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/conferences/2014_04_msw_a4_format.doc
- (3) Please ensure the maximum page of your final paper is 6-page, but still allowed up to 8 pages (required to pay an extra fee).
- (4) All the papers have to go through the file conversion offered by IEEE PDF eXpress. You can refer to the link here: <http://www.pdf-express.org/>. You will need the Conference ID to log in, which is: 44205x. After file conversion offered by IEEE PDF eXpress successfully, you can upload PDF file paper final version in EDAS at 'Final manuscript' (in the form of PDF file)
- (5) Please take notice that the Final Paper should be submitted by July 30, 2018.
- (6) Most importantly, please ensure the similarity score is less than 30%. You can refer to EDAS to see the similarity score of your paper. According to IEEE regulations, any paper with a similarity score of more than 30% will be dropped and should be reported to IEEE. If the similarity score of final version is more than 30%, the paper will be dropped or cancelled to be presented at ICITACEE 2018.
- (7) The presentation schedule will be announced in the first week of September 2018.
- (8) IEEE reserves the right to exclude a paper from distribution after the conference (e.g. removal from IEEE Xplore) if the paper is not presented at the conference.

Regards,
Aghus Sofwan, Ph.D
General Chair

===== Review 1 =====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?
Good (3)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?
Excellent (4)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

The paper entitled "Predictive Control for Relative Performance Management" is a very interesting paper. This paper is presented in a very good structure and English as well. However, please be aware of the similarity issue. It seems that this paper has similarity of 9% with the author's previous paper entitled "Block-oriented nonlinear model based control for relative performance and resource management in virtualized software system", presented at the 2016 Australian Control Conference (AuCC). Please rephrase some sentences and statements to reduce the percentage of similarity.

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Strong Accept (5)

===== Review 2 =====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?
Average (2)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?
Acceptable (3)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

This paper can accept. But some explanation should be added in the result more focus.

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Weak Accept (4)

===== Review 3 =====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?

Very good (4)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?
Excellent (4)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

the paper comes along with a very interesting topic of the application predictive Control for Relative Performance Management. For the control performance analysis, the FCS-MPC is compared with a PI control system where in your design you choose the PI parameters such that the feedback pole of the control system is at 0.5. it is well known about the pole location influence to the system dynamic : the closer to zero , the faster response of the system will be got, and vice versa. Please explain in the paper, the reason of you to place the feedback pole at 0.5. Have you ever try another value?

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Strong Accept (5)

Dharma Aryani Teknik Elektro <dharma.aryani@poliupg.ac.id>
To: icitacee@live.undip.ac.id

23 July 2018 at 15:38

Dear ICITACEE 2018 committee,

Thank you very much for the acceptance notification. We really appreciate and acknowledge all the reviewer comments and suggestions. We'll do the revision for the camera ready version.

Herewith, i would like to clarify about the similarity check of the paper. The 30% similarity score was for the initial paper that i submitted. Subsequently, i immediately revised the content and resubmitted the paper. However, the Edas similarity check could NOT proceed another similarity check for the same paper id. Thus, the 30% score did NOT change, and still display the checking results of the initial paper.

If you check content of the paper that i submitted on 26 May and the one in the similarity check result, you will find it much different in certain sections since i did several revisions in the sections with 'similar' signs on it.

Thank you very much, highest appreciation for your attention. We'll be attending the conference in Semarang.

Best regards

[Quoted text hidden]

--

Dharma Aryani

Dharma Aryani Teknik Elektro <dharma.aryani@poliupg.ac.id>
To: Nur Asyik Hidayatullah <asyik@pnm.ac.id>, "arfan@ft.undip.ac.id" <arfan@ft.undip.ac.id>

23 July 2018 at 15:39

[Quoted text hidden]

--

Dharma Aryani