





Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/) Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines) Initiatives

About (https://www.mdpi.com/about/)

Home	Journal	Electronics (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics) (ISSN 2079- 9292)
Manago	Manuscript ID	electronics-1603932
Accounts	Туре	Article
(/user/manage_ac	counts) Title	SUKRY: Suricata IDS with Enhanced kNN Algorithm on Raspberry
Change Password		Pi for Classifying IoT Botnet Attacks (https://www.mdpi.com/2079- 9292/11/5/737)
(/user/chgpwd)	Authors	Irfan Syamsuddin * , Omar Mohammed Barukab
Edit Profile (/user/edit)	Section	Computer Science & Engineering (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/sections/computer_scien
Logout (/user/logout)		ce_engineering)
 Submissions Manu 	Special Issue	Security and Privacy in IoT Enabled Modern Applications Using Deep/Machine Learning and Blockchain Technology (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/special_issues/Security_ IoT_MLBC)
Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts (/user/manuscripts Chuser/manuscripts (/user/manuscripts Discount Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_vo Invoices (/user/invoices)	Abstract s/upload) s/status) _article/status)	In this paper, k-Nearest Neighbor algorithm is being studied in terms of classifying Botnet attacks within IoT environment. There are several advantages of using kNN algorithm in classification issues such simplicity, effectiveness and robustness. However, it does not perform well in handling large dataset such as Bot-IoT dataset that represents huge amount data of botnet attacks in IoT networks. In such case, kNN often shows lower accuracy and longer execution time. Therefore, improving kNN performance in classifying IoT Botnet attack is the main concern in this study by applying several feature selection techniques. The whole research processes were conducted in Rapidminer environment using three prebuilt feature selection techniques namely, Information Gain, Forward Selection and Backward Elimination. After comparing accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score and processing time, the combination of kNN algorithm and Forward Selection technique (kNN-FS) achieves the best results among others to enhance kNN performance in classifying Bot-IoT attacks in IoT network. Finally, kNN-FS is then applied in Suricata IDS running on Raspberry Pi
LaTex Word Count		machine, named Sukry.
(/user/get/latex wo	ord count)	

The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the database. You can safely close this window.

~Reviewers Menu

Volunteer

Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 1)

Preferences

(/volunteer_reviewer_info/viewA)uthor's Notes

0

Authors applied K-nearest neighbors algorithm combined with 3 feature selection algorithms implemented in the RapidMiner tool to



the open Bot-IoT dataset and got better accuracy (99.89%) than cited results (98.9% using Decision Tree). Please include comparison to other methods not using kNN to the Table 7, such as the result using Decision Tree which is better than the result obtained with kNN.

answer : We have revised the table 7 accordingly, thanks much

Comparison of the execution time and required computational resources is also interesting, but is not present in the paper.

answer : There is no confirmation regarding execution time from previous papers, they only provide accuracy, therefore in our paper there is only comparison of accuracy, From this point of view, we also justify the importance of mentioning execution time in making comparative study, not only level of accuracy.

We mentioned this is Discussion section 5, thank you very much.

Description of feature selection techniques is too short and consists of a single paragraph. It is unclear from the description how Information Gain feature selection works, all that is said is that it "works by reducing the number of feature[s] by measuring entropy reduction before and after separation in terms of its dependency".

answer : We have improved these in 3.3. Feature Selection Techniques and kNN Algorithm

Description of Bot-IoT dataset should include the size of the training and test set. What are the sizes of the parts used for test and training?

answer : We have mentioned the size clearly. In 3.1. Dataset, Thank you

On page 7, line 184, reference [7] in sentence "The idea of kNN is that it will classify new objects based on k of their 183 closest neighbors, where k is a predetermined positive integer" points to "Available online: https://www.newark.com/iot-trends-2021 (accessed on Sep 30, 2021)." rather than a description of kNN.

answer : We have corrected and Improved the introduction section properly. Many thanks

It looks like bibliography is managed manually and references are not checked automatically which is error-prone. I have not checked all other references and suggest using automatic reference $\mathbf{\vee}$

management tools such as BibTeX or those built in MS Office.

answer. mank you very much

In the pseudo-code of kNN algorithm it is not defined what variable "m" means.

answer : We already corrected this as well in 3.3. Feature Selection Techniques and kNN Algorithm. Thank you

English could be improved, for example "that only effective for known botnets" -> "that *are* only effective for known botnets", "several approach to apply different algorithms have been applied", "similar studies utilizing machine learning frameworks are proposed in several studies", "confirmed the *effectively of* using", "causing kNN requires" -> "causing kNN to require".

answer : Many thanks for the corrections

Review Report Form

English	() Extensive editing of English language and style required
language and	() Moderate English changes required
style	(x) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
	() I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and
	style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	()	(x)	()	()
Is the research design appropriate?	(x)	()	()	()
Are the methods adequately described?	()	()	(x)	()
Are the results clearly presented?	()	(x)	()	()
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	(x)	()	()	()

Comments Authors applied K-nearest neighbors algorithm combined with 3 feature selection algorithms implemented in the RapidMiner tool to and Suggestions the open Bot-IoT dataset and got better accuracy (99.89%) than for Authors cited results (98.9% using Decision Tree). Please include comparison to other methods not using kNN to the Table 7, such as the result using Decision Tree which is better than the result obtained with kNN. Comparison of the execution time and required computational resources is also interesting, but is not present in the paper. Description of feature selection techniques is too short and consists of a single paragraph. It is unclear from the description how Information Gain feature selection works, all that is said is that it "works by reducing the number of feature[s] by measuring entropy reduction before and after separation in terms of its dependency". Description of Bot-IoT dataset should include the size of the training and test set. What are the sizes of the parts



used for test and training? On page 7, line 184, reference [7] in sentence "The idea of kNN is that it will classify new objects based on k of their 183 closest neighbors, where k is a predetermined positive integer" points to "Available online: https://www.newark.com/iot-trends-2021 (accessed on Sep 30, 2021)." rather than a description of kNN. It looks like bibliography is managed manually and references are not checked automatically which is error-prone. I have not checked all other references and suggest using automatic reference management tools such as BibTeX or those built in MS Office. In the pseudocode of kNN algorithm it is not defined what variable "m" means. English could be improved, for example "that only effective for known botnets" -> "that *are* only effective for known botnets", "several approach to apply different algorithms have been applied", "similar studies utilizing machine learning frameworks are proposed in several studies", "confirmed the *effectively of* using", "causing kNN requires" -> "causing kNN to require".

Submission

Date

Date of this 07 Feb 2022 17:10:51 review

03 February 2022

© 1996-2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)



Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/) Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines) Initiatives

About (https://www.mdpi.com/about/)

✓User Menu

Home	Journal	Electronics (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics) (ISSN 2079- 9292)		
(/user/myprolile)	Manuscript ID	electronics-1603932		
Accounts	Туре	Article		
(/user/manage_ac	counts) Title	SUKRY: Suricata IDS with Enhanced kNN Algorithm on Raspberry		
Change Password		Pi for Classifying IoT Botnet Attacks (https://www.mdpi.com/2079- 9292/11/5/737)		
(/user/chgpwd)	Authors	Irfan Syamsuddin * , Omar Mohammed Barukab		
Edit Profile (/user/edit)	Section	Computer Science & Engineering (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/sections/computer_scien		
Logout		ce_engineering)		
(/user/logout)	Special Issue	Security and Privacy in IoT Enabled Modern Applications Using Deep/Machine Learning and Blockchain Technology (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/special_issues/Security_ IoT_MLBC)		
Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts	Abstract s/upload)	In this paper, k-Nearest Neighbor algorithm is being studied in terms of classifying Botnet attacks within IoT environment. There are several advantages of using kNN algorithm in classification issues such simplicity, effectiveness and robustness. However, it does not perform well in handling large dataset such as Bot-IoT		
Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/sta English Editing (/user/pre_english_ar	s/status) n_article/status)	dataset that represents huge amount data of botnet attacks in IoT networks. In such case, kNN often shows lower accuracy and longer execution time. Therefore, improving kNN performance in classifying IoT Botnet attack is the main concern in this study by		
		processes were conducted in Rapidminer environment using three prebuilt feature selection techniques namely, Information Gain,		
Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_vo	ucher)	Forward Selection and Backward Elimination. After comparing accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score and processing time, the combination of kNN algorithm and Forward Selection technique (kNN ES) achieves the best results among others to enhance kNN		
Invoices (/user/invoices)		performance in classifying Bot-IoT attacks in IotT network. Finally, kNN-FS is then applied in Suricata IDS running on Raspberry Pi		
LaTex Word		machine, named Sukry.		
Count (/user/get/latex_wo	ord_count)			

The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the database. You can safely close this window.

✓Reviewers Menu

Volunteer Preferences Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 2)

- -

(/volunteer_reviewer_info/viewAuthor's

Ø

Notes _

There are several aspects of the article that could be improved. First of all, it is recommended to improve the quality of the images.



Some of them appear blurred.

answer : We have improved the quality of images. Thank you

Secondly, in Figure 5, Figure 8, Figure 11, etc., there are two exclamation marks that do not understand their meaning, it could be explained if it has any value.

answer : We have corrected the figures as well , Thanks much

On the other hand, I find of great interest the implementation on raspberry pi, however, I lack details to reproduce the experiment. You could provide more details such as in the case of.

answer : We have described the experiment procedure in section 4.5 SUKRY Implementation, the suggested paper also cited as new ref no 58, Thank you

Finally, the solution is very interesting and I would consider encapsulating the solution for use as a secure design pattern. In "Development of Applications Based on Security Patterns" a language for the description of patterns is presented that could be considered as a future line of work, for a more mature work.

answer : Thank you very much for the suggestion. We already improved the discussion in section 5.

Review Report Form

English language and

- () Extensive editing of English language and style required
- style
- () Moderate English changes required

(x) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required () I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable	
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	(x)	()	()	()	
Is the research design appropriate?	()	(x)	()	()	
Are the methods adequately described?	(x)	()	()	()	
Are the results clearly presented?	(x)	()	()	()	\checkmark
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	(x)	()	()	()	•

Comments In this paper, a new algorithm for Botnet attack classification in IoT and environment is proposed. Some advantages of this algorithm for identifying bothet attacks in IoT natworks are identified

Suggestions for Authors

identifying pothet attacks in for inetworks are identified.

They make use of Rapidminer throughout the research process using three predefined feature selection techniques (Information Gain, Forward Selection and Backward Elimination). A comparative study is made between F1 score and processing time, the combination of kNN algorithm, obtaining good results of Forward Selection technique (kNNN-FS) achieves the best results among others to improve the performance of kNN in classifying Bot-IoT attacks in IotT network. As a highlight of the article is the application of Suricata IDS in a real pilot on a Raspberry Pi machine.

There are several aspects of the article that could be improved. First of all, it is recommended to improve the quality of the images. Some of them appear blurred. Secondly, in Figure 5, Figure 8, Figure 11, etc., there are two exclamation marks that do not understand their meaning, it could be explained if it has any value. On the other hand, I find of great interest the implementation on raspberry pi, however, I lack details to reproduce the experiment. You could provide more details such as in the case of. "P2ISE: Preserving Project Integrity in CI/CD Based on Secure Elements" in which details are shown to reproduce the experiment concretely.

Finally, the solution is very interesting and I would consider encapsulating the solution for use as a secure design pattern. In "Development of Applications Based on Security Patterns" a language for the description of patterns is presented that could be considered as a future line of work, for a more mature work.

Submission 03 February 2022 Date Date of this 07 Feb 2022 10:35:06 review

© 1996-2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)



Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/) Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines) Initiatives

About (https://www.mdpi.com/about/)

✓User Menu

Home	Journal	Electronics (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics) (ISSN 2079- 9292)		
(/user/myprolile)	Manuscript ID	electronics-1603932		
Accounts	Туре	Article		
(/user/manage_ac	counts) Title	SUKRY: Suricata IDS with Enhanced kNN Algorithm on Raspberry		
Change Password		Pi for Classifying IoT Botnet Attacks (https://www.mdpi.com/2079- 9292/11/5/737)		
(/user/chgpwd)	Authors	Irfan Syamsuddin * , Omar Mohammed Barukab		
Edit Profile (/user/edit)	Section	Computer Science & Engineering (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/sections/computer_scien		
Logout		ce_engineering)		
(/user/logout)	Special Issue	Security and Privacy in IoT Enabled Modern Applications Using Deep/Machine Learning and Blockchain Technology (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/special_issues/Security_ IoT_MLBC)		
Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts	Abstract s/upload)	In this paper, k-Nearest Neighbor algorithm is being studied in terms of classifying Botnet attacks within IoT environment. There are several advantages of using kNN algorithm in classification issues such simplicity, effectiveness and robustness. However, it does not perform well in handling large dataset such as Bot-IoT		
Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/sta English Editing (/user/pre_english_ar	s/status) n_article/status)	dataset that represents huge amount data of botnet attacks in IoT networks. In such case, kNN often shows lower accuracy and longer execution time. Therefore, improving kNN performance in classifying IoT Botnet attack is the main concern in this study by		
		processes were conducted in Rapidminer environment using three prebuilt feature selection techniques namely, Information Gain,		
Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_vo	ucher)	Forward Selection and Backward Elimination. After comparing accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score and processing time, the combination of kNN algorithm and Forward Selection technique (kNN ES) achieves the best results among others to enhance kNN		
Invoices (/user/invoices)		performance in classifying Bot-IoT attacks in IotT network. Finally, kNN-FS is then applied in Suricata IDS running on Raspberry Pi		
LaTex Word		machine, named Sukry.		
Count (/user/get/latex_wo	ord_count)			

✓ReviewersMenu𝔅

Volunteer Preferences Authors' Responses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 3)

 \checkmark

(/volunteer_reviewer_info/viewA)uthor's

Notes

The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the

database. You can safely close this window.

scope of the journal, unfortunately i cannot recommend publishing the paper in this form (I recommend major revision). The authors seem to have done some good research in a very important topic, however were not able to communicate that through writing and discussion. The paper has many shortcomings, in my opinion - in the following - I explain the main shortcomings in terms of structure, objective, declaration of the problem. In addition to the lack of clarity of the objective

answer : Thank you very much for the suggestions. We have made extensive revision based on these recommendations as can be seen in section 1. Introduction and section 2. Relevant Studies

Unfortunately, writing and formatting of the article are not suitable, and required more efforts to refinement. The overall paper needs to be rewritten. So it can be said there is a major writing issues, in terms of grammar: use of adverbs, pronouns, gerunds, definite vs. indefinite articles, plural and singular and pronouns. Furthermore lack of proper punctuation makes it hard to read. Long sentences turning into complete paragraphs!

answer : Thanks much, We have corrected language errors.

- No adequate coverage of related work, few paragraphs embedded into the introduction and in the section 2, without analysis or discussion

answer : We have improved section 2. Relevant Studies by additional related references with comments and appropriate analysis . Thank you

- The problem definition must be declared

answer : We have mentioned clearly in section 1 Introduction. Thanks much

- Overall, the proposed schema(s) seems to be simple (specially static one) There are no rules or rules to arrange the steps provided in the proposed schema. I feel as though the authors have provided a schema based on the empirical method

Pseudo code of 184 kNN algorithm is represented below depicted in fig 4 is very trivial

answer : We have corrected it. Thanks much

A lot of paragraph and definition must be eliminated

answer : We have corrected and improved them as well . Thank you so much

 \checkmark

Analysis and discussion of the results not enough to validate the

proposar

answer : We have improved the discussion in section 5. Thank you so much for the positive feedbacks.

Review Report Form

English	Extensive editing of English language and style required
language and	() Moderate English changes required
style	(x) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
	() I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and
	style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	()	(x)	()	()
Is the research design appropriate?	()	()	(x)	()
Are the methods adequately described?	()	(x)	()	()
Are the results clearly presented?	()	()	(x)	()
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	()	(x)	()	()

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

This paper presents a solution that aims to improve the performance of kNN algorithm specifically in 74 dealing with BotNet IoT dataset by applying feature selection techniques.

Although the paper covers an interesting topic that falls within the scope of the journal, unfortunately I cannot recommend publishing the paper in this form (I recommend major revision). The authors seem to have done some good research in a very important topic, however were not able to communicate that through writing and discussion. The paper has many shortcomings, in my opinion - in the following - I explain the main shortcomings in terms of structure, objective, declaration of the problem. In addition to the lack of clarity of the objective

- Unfortunately, writing and formatting of the article are not suitable, and required more efforts to refinement. The overall paper needs to be rewritten. So it can be said there is a major writing issues, in terms of grammar: use of adverbs, pronouns, gerunds, definite vs.

 \checkmark

indefinite articles, plural and singular and pronouns. Furthermore

turning into complete paragraphs!

- No adequate coverage of related work, few paragraphs embedded into the introduction and in the section 2, without analysis or discussion

- The problem definition must be declared

- Overall, the proposed schema(s) seems to be simple (specially static one) There are no rules or rules to arrange the steps provided in the proposed schema. I feel as though the authors have provided a schema based on the empirical method

Pseudo code of 184 kNN algorithm is represented below depicted in fig 4 is very trivial

A lot of paragraph and definition must be eliminated

Analysis and discussion of the results not enough to validate the proposal

Submission 03 February 2022 Date Date of this 08 Feb 2022 16:23:57 review

© 1996-2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)

•	There are a bunch of ambiguity in the abstract:	2
	Response: we have improved the abstract to avoid ambiguity.	3
		4
•	The written of the paper is not justified since the problem that the paper is addressed is not clear. Please	5
	clearly mention the pitfalls of the state of the arts research.	6
	Response: the problem to be addressed in the study is lower performance of kNN in dealing with large size	7
	dataset in this case Botnet dataset. This part has been added in the last part of section 1.	8
		9
•	The superiority of the proposed scheme is not exposed and compared with the state of the arts.	10
	Response: we already mentioned 2 previous research using kNN in section "4.4. Performance Comparison",	11
	in which we cited paper 42 and 43 that obtained lower kNN accuracy level than our proposal.	12
		13
•	After the comparison with the existing research, the authors/author should explain the percentage of	14
	improvement in comparison with the research in the literature.	15
	Response: the percentage of difference has also been added in the same section "4.4. Performance	16
	Comparison"	17
		18
•	In section 1, the author fails to provide motivations of the proposed scheme. I recommend the author to	19
	include the interesting applications of the proposed scheme.	20
	Response: motivation of our study has been mentioned in section 1 paragraph 9.	21
		22
•	The motivation of the practical use of the theoretic design should be clearly addressed. The best way to show	23
	this is by practical example or explanations.	24
	Response: Specific motivation of practical use is not our coverage since we consider main motivation (no 5)	25
	has been clearly mentioned already.	26
		27
•	Are there any deficiencies of the design in this paper and how to make further improvement, to make your	28
	results less conservative?	29
	Response: we consider the presentation of of the paper fits the scope of our study.	30
		31
•	The author needs the help of someone whose command over the language is good to edit the paper so that	32
	composition and grammar of the language used are correct.	33
	Response: We have improve some English errors	34
		35
•	The authors should add and justify (by referring to published materials) the parameters of implementation	36
	setup.	37
	Response: Real implementation is beyond of the scope of our study.	38
		20

Response to Reviewer 3:

The paper is interesting especially because of the IoT issue it raises. IoT infrastructure is particularly vulnerable to all kinds of attacks. The paper addresses the selected issue of using kNN to classify IoT BotNet Attacks. I would like to point out that the structure of the paper is generally correct. However, additions are required in several places in the manuscript.

- 1. The source entries for the statements made in line 58-69 should be indicated. **Response:** The source of statements in these lines are now with clear citations.
- 2. Section 3.1 needs improvement. Referring only to item [37] is not sufficient. There is a lack of assumptions and precise information about the functioning of BotNet Attacks in IoT environment. There is no architecture of the IoT environment, or accurate information about the dataset on which the analysis is based. Table 1 can refer to any network traffic and does not clearly indicate that it refers to the IoT environment. This section needs to be expanded to clearly indicate how the adopted data maps to the IoT BotNet Attacks. *Response: The source 37 is actually the original paper from which the dataset obtained, and we supported this by mentioning ref no 38,39,40 and 41 in the following sentences. So, actually we have provided 5 sources to justify the selection of dataset. In addition to Table 1, it shows all features of the BotNet IoT dataset taken from reference no 37, so this table clearly shows whole features or attributes of the dataset.*
- 3. There is also no information on whether and to what extent the method used has practical applications for ongoing monitoring of attacks, whether it can be implemented in a production environment and what requirements would be involved. From this perspective, it would be interesting to know the calculation time and whether the proposed solution can be used for ongoing monitoring or only for historical data analysis. *Response: Practical production environment is beyond the scope of our study, therefore we do not mention it in the paper.*

Comments and Suggestions for Authors	1
This manuscript presents already explored issues. The reviewer didn't find any new contribution in this submission.	2
Similar experiments have been reported in the literature.No novelty is found as most of the work is just rebuilding the	3
existing work.	4
	5
Response to Reviewer 1:	6
We have listed contribution of the paper in the last part of section 1. These are the novelty proposed in our study.	7
In summary, the main contributions of this research are as follows:	8
• Present kNN algorithm application in classification of botnet attacks in IoT networks using huge size dataset.	9
• Implement and evaluate different feature selection techniques to kNN algorithm to achieve the best results	10
• Justify the best combination of feature selection techniques and kNN algorithm based on accuracy levels and	11
execution time.	12
The whole implementations are applied in Rapidminer environment.	13
	14



Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/)

Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines) Initiatives About (https://www.mdpi.com/about/)

∨User Menu	Ø	Manuscript Information Overview
	U	

Home (/user/myprofile)			
Manage Accounts (/user/manage_accounts)	Manuscript ID	electronics-1464904	
Change Password	Status	Rejected	
(/user/chgpwd)	Article type	Article	
Edit Profile (/user/edit)	Title	Enhancing the Performance of kNN Algorithm in Classifying IoT BotNet Attacks using	
Logout (/user/logout)		Feature Selection Techniques	
	Journal	Electronics (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics)	
∽Submissions Men	Section	Artificial Intelligence (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/sections/Artificial_Intell)	
Submit Manuscript (/user/manuscripts/upload)	Abstract	In the present study k-Nearest Neighbor algorithm is being studied in terms of classifying Botnet attacks within IoT environment. There are several advantages of using kNN algorithm in classification issues such simplicity, effectiveness and robustness. However, it	
Display Submitted Manuscripts (/user/manuscripts/status)		does not perform well in handling large dataset such as Bot-IoT dataset that represents huge amount data of botnet attacks in IoT networks. In such case, kNN often shows lower accuracy and longer execution time. Therefore, improving kNN performance in classifying	
English Editing (/user/pre_english_article/status)		IoT Botnet attack is the main concern in this study by applying several feature selection techniques. The whole research processes are conducted in Rapidminer environment using three prebuilt feature selection techniques namely, Information Gain, Forward	
Discount Vouchers (/user/discount_voucher)		Selection and Backward Elimination. It is finally found that Forward Selection is the best feature selection technique to improve the performance of kNN algorithm (kNN-FS) in classifying Bot-IoT dataset by achieving best results in all five evaluation criteria.	
Invoices (/user/invoices)	Koywordo	hetnet etteoly leTr mechine learning kNN: feature collection	
LaTex Word Count	Reywords		
(/user/get/latex_word_count)	Manuscript File	manuscript.docx (/user/manuscripts/displayFile/dbee26d885e46b991bd8ce9965926516)	
∼Reviewers Menu 🔮	PDF File	manuscript.pdf (/user/manuscripts/displayFile/dbee26d885e46b991bd8ce9965926516/latest_pdf)	
Volunteer Preferences (/volunteer_reviewer_info/view)	Pre prints	You can put your paper online immediately and before peer review at Preprints.org (https://www.preprints.org), with the following benefits:	

• Anyone can read and download your work immediately, before peer review is complete.

- Receive comments and feedback.
- Make your work citable via assignment of a digital object identifier.
- Immediate indexing by Google Scholar and other online databases.
- Papers are put online within 24 hours.
- A doi will be applied to your announced preprints automatically.

Upload to Preprints (/user/sciprints/manuscript/dbee26d885e46b991bd8ce9965926516)

Data is of paramount importance to scientific progress, yet most research data drowns in lata supplementary files or remains private. Enhancing the transparency of the data processes will help to render scientific research results reproducible and thus more accountable. Cosubmit your methodical data processing articles or data descriptors for a linked data set in Data (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/data) journal to make your data more citable and reliable. Deposit your data set in an online repository, obtain the DOI number or link to the deposited data set. Download and use the Microsoft Word template (https://www.mdpi.com/files/wordtemplates/data-template.dot) or LaTeX template (https://www.mdpi.com/authors/latex) to prepare your data article. Upload and send your data article to the Data (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/data) journal here (/user/manuscripts/upload? form%5Bjournal id%5D=176&form%5Barticle type id%5D=47). Submit To Data (/user/manuscripts/upload? form%5Bjournal id%5D=176&form%5Barticle type id%5D=47)

Author Information

Submitting AuthorIrfan SyamsuddinCorresponding
AuthorIrfan SyamsuddinAuthorIrfan Syamsuddin

E-Mail irfans@poliupg.ac.id

Author #2 Omar Mohammed Barukab

E-Mail obarukab@kau.edu.sa

Manuscript Information

Received Date	30 October 2021
Revised Date	6 December 2021
Submission to First Decision (Days)	39
Submission to Publication (Days)	
Round of Revision	2
Page Count	17

Editor Decision

Decision	Reject and decline resubmission
Decision Date	22 December 2021

Review Report

Reviewer 1	Review Report (Round 1) (/user/manuscripts/review/22083001?report=15539553)
	Review Report (Round 2) (/user/manuscripts/review/22083001?report=16199586)
	Review Report (Round 3) (/user/manuscripts/review/22083001?report=16361878)

- Reviewer 2 Review Report (Round 1) (/user/manuscripts/review/22091427?report=15546506)
- Reviewer 3 Review Report (Round 1) (/user/manuscripts/review/22155926?report=15600479) Review Report (Round 2) (/user/manuscripts/review/22155926?report=16199579)

Journal APC: 1,800.00 CHF Total Payment 1,800.00 CHF Amount:

Previously Published Papers

Syamsuddin, I.; Barukab, O.M. SUKRY: Suricata IDS with Enhanced kNN Algorithm on Raspberry Pi for Classifying IoT Botnet Attacks. *Electronics* **2022**, *11*, 737. doi: 10.3390/electronics11050737 (https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11050737)

Related Papers Published in MDPI Journals

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact electronics@mdpi.com (mailto: electronics@mdpi.com).

© 1996-2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-andconditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)





Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/) Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines) Initiatives

About (https://www.mdpi.com/about/)

∨User Menu

[●]

Home	Journal	 Electronics (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics) (ISSN 2079- 9292) 					
(/user/myprofile)	Manuscript ID	electronics-1464904					
Accounts	Туре	Article					
(/user/manage_a	ccounts) Title	Enhancing the Performance of kNN Algorithm in Classifying IoT					
Change		BotNet Attacks using Feature Selection Techniques					
Password	Authors	Irfan Syamsuddin * , Omar Mohammed Barukab					
(/user/chgpwd)	Section	Artificial Intelligence					
Edit Profile (/user/edit)		(https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/sections/Artificial_Intell)					
	Abstract	In the present study k-Nearest Neighbor algorithm is being studied					
(/user/logout)		in terms of classifying Botnet attacks within IoT environment. There are several advantages of using kNN algorithm in classification					
∽Submissions Menu €		issues such simplicity, effectiveness and robustness. However, it does not perform well in handling large dataset such as Bot-IoT dataset that represents huge amount data of botnet attacks in IoT networks. In such case, kNN often shows lower accuracy and longer execution time. Therefore, improving kNN performance in classifying IoT Botnet attack is the main concern in this study by					
Submit							
Manuscript		applying several feature selection techniques. The whole research					
(/user/manuscript	ts/upload)	processes are conducted in Rapidminer environment using three					
Display		Forward Selection and Backward Elimination. It is finally found that Forward Selection is the best feature selection technique to					
Submitted							
(/user/manuscripts/status)		Improve the performance of KNN algorithm (KNN-FS) in classifying Bot-IoT dataset by achieving best results in all five evaluation					
English Editing		criteria.					
(/user/pre_englis	h_article/status)						
Discount							
Vouchers		The coverletter for this review report has been saved in the					
(/user/discount_v	oucher)	database. You can safely close this window.					
Invoices							
(/user/invoices)	Authors' Resp	onses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 3)					
La lex Word							
(/user/get/latex_v	vord count) Author's	Please see the attachment.					
(, acci, gotiato, <u>_</u>)	Notes						
∽Reviewers Menu	Author's Notes File	Report Notes (/user/review/displayFile/22155926/ON6Jik4v? file=author-coverletter&report=15600479)					
Volunteer							
Preferences	Review Repor	t Form					
(/volunteer_reviev	wer_info/view)						
	English	 Extensive editing of English language and style required Moderate English changes required 					

 language and style
 () inductate English changes required

 (x)
 English language and style are fine/minor spell check required

 ()
 I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and

style

		Ye	es	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?		()	(x)	()	()
Is the research design appropriate?		()	(x)	()	()
Are the methods adequately described?		()	()	(x)	()
ŀ	Are the results clearly presented?	()	()	(x)	()
Are the conclusions supported by the results?		()	(x)	()	()
Comments and Suggestions for Authors	The paper is interesting especially because of the IoT issue it raises. IoT infrastructure is particularly vulnerable to all kinds of attacks. The paper addresses the selected issue of using kNN to					

classify IoT BotNet Attacks. I would like to point out that the structure of the paper is generally correct. However, additions are required in several places in the manuscript.

Firstly, the source entries for the statements made in line 58-69 should be indicated.

Section 3.1 needs improvement. Referring only to item [37] is not sufficient. There is a lack of assumptions and precise information about the functioning of BotNet Attacks in IoT environment. There is no architecture of the IoT environment, or accurate information about the dataset on which the analysis is based. Table 1 can refer to any network traffic and does not clearly indicate that it refers to the IoT environment. This section needs to be expanded to clearly indicate how the adopted data maps to the IoT BotNet Attacks.

There is also no information on whether and to what extent the method used has practical applications for ongoing monitoring of attacks, whether it can be implemented in a production environment and what requirements would be involved. From this perspective, it would be interesting to know the calculation time and whether the proposed solution can be used for ongoing monitoring or only for historical data analysis.

Additionally, the quality of the figures should be improved.

Submission 30 October 2021 Date Date of this 11 Nov 2021 13:55:30 review © 1996-2022 MDPI (Basel, Switzerland) unless otherwise stated

Disclaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)



Journals (https://www.mdpi.com/about/journals/) Information (https://www.mdpi.com/guidelines) Initiatives

About (https://www.mdpi.com/about/)

∨User Menu

[●]

Home	Journal	Electronics (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics) (ISSN 2079- 9292)				
(/user/myprofile)	Manuscript ID	electronics-1464904				
Accounts	Туре	Article				
(/user/manage_a	ccounts) Title	Enhancing the Performance of kNN Algorithm in Classifying IoT				
Change		BotNet Attacks using Feature Selection Techniques				
Password	Authors	Irfan Syamsuddin * , Omar Mohammed Barukab				
(/user/cngpwa)	Section	Artificial Intelligence				
(/user/edit)		(https://www.mdpi.com/journal/electronics/sections/Artificial_Intell)				
Logout	Abstract	In the present study k-Nearest Neighbor algorithm is being studied in terms of classifying Botnet attacks within IoT environment. There are several advantages of using kNN algorithm in classification issues such simplicity, effectiveness and robustness. However, it does not perform well in handling large dataset such as Bot-IoT dataset that represents huge amount data of botnet attacks in IoT networks. In such case, kNN often shows lower accuracy and				
(/user/logout)						
∽Submissions Menu ♀						
Submit		classifying IoT Botnet attack is the main concern in this study by				
Manuscript		applying several feature selection techniques. The whole research				
(/user/manuscript	ts/upload)	processes are conducted in Rapidminer environment using three				
Display		Forward Selection and Backward Elimination. It is finally found that				
Submitted		Forward Selection is the best feature selection technique to improve the performance of kNN algorithm (kNN-FS) in classifying				
Manuscripts						
(/user/manuscripts/status)		Bot-Io⊤ dataset by achieving best results in all five evaluation criteria				
English Editing	h article/atatus)	chiena.				
(/user/pre_english	n_anicie/status)					
Vouchers		The coverletter for this review report has been seved in the				
(/user/discount_voucher)		database. You can safely close this window.				
Invoices	,					
(/user/invoices)						
LaTex Word Count	Authors' Resp	onses to Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 2)				
(/user/get/latex_v	vord_count) Author's Notes	Please see the attachment.				
∼Reviewers Menu ✔	Author's Notes File	Report Notes (/user/review/displayFile/22091427/9jAns2EW? file=author-coverletter&report=15546506)				
Volunteer						
Preferences	Review Repor	t Form				
(/volunteer_reviev	wer_info/view)					
	English	Extensive editing of English language and style required				

(v) Moderate English changes required

(х) почетале спунат опапуса геритеч

() English language and style are fine/minor spell check required

() I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not applicable
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	(x)	()	()	()
Is the research design appropriate?	(x)	()	()	()
Are the methods adequately described?	(x)	()	()	()
Are the results clearly presented?	(x)	()	()	()
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	(x)	()	()	()

Comments and

There are a bunch of ambiguity in the abstract:

Suggestions

for Authors pa

The written of the paper is not justified since the problem that the
 paper is addressed is not clear. Please clearly mention the pitfalls
 of the state of the arts research.

The superiority of the proposed scheme is not exposed and compared with the state of the arts.

After the comparison with the existing research, the authors/author should explain the percentage of improvement in comparison with the research in the literature.

In section 1, the author fails to provide motivations of the proposed scheme. I recommend the author to include the interesting applications of the proposed scheme.

The motivation of the practical use of the theoretic design should be clearly addressed. The best way to show this is by practical example or explanations.

Are there any deficiencies of the design in this paper and how to make further improvement, to make your results less conservative?

The author needs the help of someone whose command over the language is good to edit the paper so that composition and grammar of the language used are correct.

The authors should add and justify (by referring to published materials) the parameters of implementation setup.

Submission 30 October 2021 Date Date of this 21 Nov 2021 21:29:39 review



Usciaimer Terms and Conditions (https://www.mdpi.com/about/terms-and-conditions) Privacy Policy (https://www.mdpi.com/about/privacy)