

BUKTI KORESPONDENSI

Judul Artikel	Development of an Internet of Things - based Fish Feeding Robot Prototype with a Water Level Monitoring System for Pond Use
Penulis Utama dan Korespondensi	Abdul Kadir Muhammad
Jenis Artikel	Jurnal Internasional
Nama Jurnal	Natural Volatiles and Essential Oils (NVEO)
Tanggal Terbit	2 Desember 2021
Penerbit	Badebio Biotechnology, Ltd.
Negara	Turki

Review Form of NVEO 2021

Paper Title: Development of an Internet of Things - based Fish Feeding Robot Prototype with a Water Level Monitoring System for Pond Use

Evaluation:					
	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Outstanding
Originality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Innovation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Technical Merit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Presentation and English	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Match to Conference Topic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recommendation to Editors					
	Strongly Reject	Reject	Marginally Accept	Accept	Strong Accept
Recommendation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I. REVIEW

A. Suitability of topic

1. Is the topic appropriate for publication?
 Yes Perhaps No
2. Is the topic important to colleagues working in the field?
 Yes Perhaps No

B. Contents

1. Is the paper technically sound? If no, why not?
 Yes No

2. Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?
 Yes
 Important Information is missing or superficially treated.
 Treatment somewhat unbalanced, but not seriously so.
 Certain parts significantly overstressed.
3. How would you describe the technical depth of the paper?
 Superficial
 Suitable for the non-specialist
 Appropriate for the generally knowledgeable individual working in the field
 Suitable only for an expert
4. How would you rate the technical novelty of the paper?
 Novel Somewhat Novel Not Novel

C. *Presentation*

1. How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Satisfactory Could be improved Poor

2. Are the title and abstract satisfactory?

Yes No

3. Is the length of the paper appropriate? If not, recommend how the length of the paper should be amended, including a possible target length for the final manuscript

Yes No

4. Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Yes Not always No

5. How do you rate the English usage?

Satisfactory Needs improvement Poor

6. How do you rate the list of references?

Satisfactory Unsatisfactory

D. *Overall rating (circle appropriate rating)*

1. How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Excellent Good Fair Poor

2. How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Highly Novel Sufficiently Novel Slightly Novel Not Novel

3. How would you rate the "literary" presentation of the paper?

Totally Accessible Mostly Accessible Partially Accessible

Inaccessible

4. How would you rate the appropriateness of this paper for publication?

Excellent Match Good Match Weak Match Poor Match

II. RECOMMENDATION

- Publish unaltered
- Publish In Minor, Required Changes
- Publish After Major Required Changes
- Review Again After Major Changes
- Reject (Paper Is Not of Sufficient Quality or Novelty to Be Published In This Conference)
- Reject (A Major Rewrite Is Required; Encourage Resubmission)
- Reject (Paper Is Seriously Flawed; Do Not Encourage Resubmission.)

III. COMMENTS

Please state the reason you gave the recommendation above. Please give the author specific guidance regarding revisions, differentiating between optional and mandatory changes.

This paper develops a fish feeding robot prototype that equipped with a water level monitoring system for pond use and proposes an effective control scheme for the robot prototype. The review thinks the topic is interesting and technically right, thus recommends the acceptance of this paper. Please add more references.

30/11/2021

Copyright Form

Author(s) Name	Abdul Kadir Muhammad
Co-Author(s) Name	Dermawan
Paper ID	ICIRST_05317
Title of the Paper	Development of an Internet of Things - based Fish Feeding Robot Prototype with a Water Level Monitoring System for Pond Use
Affiliation	Center for Mechatronics and Control Systems, Mechanical Engineering Departmen, State Polytechnic of Ujung Pandang, Indonesia
Email	kadir.muhammad@poliupg.ac.id
Contact No.	+6285110386301
City	Makassar
Country	Indonesia
Journal Name	NATURAL VOLATILES & ESSENTIAL OILS