

BELOW WOULD BE SENT TO THE AUTHOR(S)

:Due November 25, 2018

Reviewer ID: MASKED-B

Paper ID: 181138

Paper Title: "Decision Analysis Model for Cloud Based Grass Surveillance Systems."

On a scale of 1 – 100, with 1 being the worst and 100 being the best, please provide an INTEGER rating on the overall quality of the paper for conference publication: 84 (above 70 points to be accepted)

Mark.

Please provide a short summary of the paper:

A. Originality(20%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

B. Clarity in terms of:

Presentation (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Technical accuracy (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

C. Significance and broader impact(20%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

D. Relevancy(10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

E. Implication for industrial practice(20%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

F. For Reviewers(10%):

How would you as a reviewer rate yourself in terms of the level of expertise/awareness in the area of the paper?

High Moderate Marginal Low Nothing

Please provide specific recommendation, if any, that author(s) could use to improve the quality of the paper for the conference publication (given the constraint of the page limit)

1. It is fine for publication in computer network session.

BELOW WOULD BE SENT TO THE AUTHOR(S)

:Due November 25, 2018

Reviewer ID: MASKED-A

Paper ID: 181138

Paper Title: "Decision Analysis Model for Cloud Based Grass Surveillance Systems."

On a scale of 1 – 100, with 1 being the worst and 100 being the best, please provide an INTEGER rating on the overall quality of the paper for conference publication: 84 (above 70 points to be accepted)

Mark.

Please provide a short summary of the paper:

A. Originality(20%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

B. Clarity in terms of:

Presentation (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Technical accuracy (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

C. Significance and broader impact(20%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

D. Relevancy(10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

E. Implication for industrial practice(20%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

F. For Reviewers(10%):

How would you as a reviewer rate yourself in terms of the level of expertise/awareness in the area of the paper?

High Moderate Marginal Low Nothing

Please provide specific recommendation, if any, that author(s) could use to improve the quality of the paper for the conference publication (given the constraint of the page limit)

1. This paper is fine for publication in computer network session.
2. Makes sure the comparison session to evaluate easily.
3. Adds your profiles and pictures at the end of references.