Re : [AMS2009] Your paper #1569179263

Dear Chair of IEEE AMS 2009

We have followed all the both reviewers suggestions properly.
These include
- revised structures
- revised abstract
- revised introduction with some novelty points
- revised figure and table
- revised methodology and more detail results
Thank you very much

Best regards,

Irfan

On Sun, Feb 22, 2009 at 5:00 PM <david.al-dabass@ntu.ac.uk> wrote:
Dear Mr. Irfan Syamsuddin:

Congratulations - your paper #1569179263 ('Information Security Policy Decision Making: An Analytic Hierarchy Process Approach') for AMS2009 has been accepted subject to final checking for compliance with:

1. the reviewers instructions and

2. the IEEE format.

As well as implementing any instructions given in the reviews below, it is vital that you follow the IEEE formatting instructions strictly before uploading your revised paper into EDAS by the deadline given on the website for final checking.

The reviews are below or can be found at http://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1569179263, using your EDAS user name irfans@poliupg.ac.id.

======= Review 1 =======

> *** Title: Is the paper title relevant to the conference themes?
Yes (1)

> *** Relevance: Is the content appropriate for the conference themes?
Yes (1)

> *** Quality of Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality?
Medium (1)

> *** Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the conference?
Medium (1)

> *** English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the conference?
Yes (2)

> *** Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand?
Medium (1)

> *** Decision: Assign a mark to your decision
Weak Accept (2)

> *** Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author?

Comment to Paper 1569179263.doc:
Eventhough there is no new contribution in the techniques proposed and used, this paper contributes a model for government information security policy with respect to several aspects combined with information security elements.

In general there is no doubt for the quality of e work in this paper..

Eventhough this paper is recommended for this paper to be published and included in the AMS 2009 Proceeding, the following suggestions are proposed:
•   Consider the latest advancement of the Analytic Hierarchical Process (AHP) technique, called the ANP (Analytic Network Process), since some correlation between criteria and alternatives in Figure-1 are in existence.

•   please follow the recommended format as follows:
-    Abstract
-    Introduction
-    Literature survey to outline limitations/weaknesses of current   systems/techniques/methods
-    The proposed new ideas
-    Tools to test the quality of the proposed ideas, e.g. simulation, software, hardware, or combinations
-    Results and discussions of experimentation with the tools to validate the new ideas
-    Conclusions and suggestions for future work
-    References

======= Review 2 =======

> *** Title: Is the paper title relevant to the conference themes?
Yes (1)

> *** Relevance: Is the content appropriate for the conference themes?
Yes (1)

> *** Quality of Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality?
Medium (1)

> *** Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the conference?
Low (0)

> *** English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the conference?
Yes (2)

> *** Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand?
Medium (1)

> *** Decision: Assign a mark to your decision
Weak Accept (2)

> *** Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author?

Due to the relation between the factors, It is suggested to use the ANP method.

Regards,
AMS2009 Chairs