



Irfan Syamsuddin &lt;irfans@poliupg.ac.id&gt;

---

**[IJSSST V15] Your paper #1570022957 ('Selection of IPv6 Attributes for Efficient Cloud Computing Development: Towards Green E-Government in Indonesia')**

2 messages

---

**IJSSST V15** <ijssstv15-chairs@edas.info>  
To: Irfan Syamsuddin <irfans@poliupg.ac.id>

Thu, Oct 9, 2014 at 5:36 PM

Dear Mr. Irfan Syamsuddin:

Congratulations - your paper #1570022957 ('Selection of IPv6 Attributes for Efficient Cloud Computing Development: Towards Green E-Government in Indonesia') for IJSSST V15 has been accepted subject to final checking for compliance with:

1. the reviewers instructions and
2. the IJSSST format as Word .doc file NOT .docx

As well as implementing any instructions given in the reviews below, it is vital that you follow the IJSSST formatting instructions strictly before uploading your revised paper into EDAS by the deadline given for final checking. Kindly note EDAS will ask you to Register (pay registration fee) before submitting the revised manuscript.

The reviews are below or can be found at <http://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570022957>, using your EDAS user name [irfans@poliupg.ac.id](mailto:irfans@poliupg.ac.id).

=====  
Review 1 =====

&gt; \*\*\* Title: Is the paper title relevant to the Journal themes?

Yes (1)

&gt; \*\*\* Relevance: Is the content appropriate for the Journal themes?

Yes (1)

&gt; \*\*\* Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality?

Medium (1)

&gt; \*\*\* Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the Journal?

Medium (1)

&gt; \*\*\* English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the Journal?

Yes (2)

&gt; \*\*\* Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand?

Medium (1)

> \*\*\* Decision: Assign a mark to your decision  
Accept (3)

> \*\*\* Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author?

The paper is well written and the content is interesting.

The standard of written English acceptable for the Journal; however I suggest a last review of the paper by a native English speaker, in order to improve some sentences difficult to understand and to avoid some small mistakes.

Regarding the references, not all of them are cited in the text, and they are not ordered according to their appearance in the document.

=====  
Review 2  
=====

> \*\*\* Title: Is the paper title relevant to the Journal themes?  
Yes (1)

> \*\*\* Relevance: Is the content appropriate for the Journal themes?  
Yes (1)

> \*\*\* Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality?  
High (2)

> \*\*\* Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the Journal?  
Medium (1)

> \*\*\* English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the Journal?  
Needs corrections (1)

> \*\*\* Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand?  
Medium (1)

> \*\*\* Decision: Assign a mark to your decision  
Weak Reject (1)

> \*\*\* Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author?

his paper introduces a novel idea of achieving efficiency in cloud computing through deploying it over IPv6 infrastructure. IPv6 has many advance features or attributes which is attractive for making cloud computing development more efficient in comparison to IPv4 which is currently widely used.

The authors are encouraged to resubmit the paper with proper use of fonts in the reference section according to IEEE standards.

=====  
Review 3  
=====

> \*\*\* Title: Is the paper title relevant to the Journal themes?  
Yes (1)

> \*\*\* Relevance: Is the content appropriate for the Journal themes?  
Yes (1)

> \*\*\* Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality?

Medium (1)

> \*\*\* Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the Journal?

Medium (1)

> \*\*\* English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the Journal?

Needs corrections (1)

> \*\*\* Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand?

Low (0)

> \*\*\* Decision: Assign a mark to your decision

Accept (3)

> \*\*\* Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author?

Abstract should be in a two column format. Keywords should be separated with a semicolon. Paragraph 6 in your 'INTRODUCTION' has poor grammar at the beginning of that paragraph.

Figure and table captions MUST end with a period and should be centred. All references MUST end with a period. None of your reference list is terminated by a period.

=====  
Review 4  
=====

> \*\*\* Title: Is the paper title relevant to the Journal themes?

Yes (1)

> \*\*\* Relevance: Is the content appropriate for the Journal themes?

Yes (1)

> \*\*\* Technical Content: Is the technical content of sufficient quality?

High (2)

> \*\*\* Originality: Does the technical content demonstrate sufficient originality for the Journal?

High (2)

> \*\*\* English: Is the standard of written English acceptable for the Journal?

Yes (2)

> \*\*\* Writing Style: Is the paper clear and easy to read and understand?

High (2)

> \*\*\* Decision: Assign a mark to your decision

Accept (3)

> \*\*\* Guidance: Are there specific recommendations that you would like to suggest to the author?

Excellent paper, well written. The results achieved are very interesting.

Regards,  
Editor-in-Chief

---

**Irfan Syamsuddin** <irfans@poliupg.ac.id>  
To: IJSSST V15 <ijssstv15-chairs@edas.info>

Sun, Oct 19, 2014 at 6:32 AM

Dear Editor in Chief,

Thank you very much for the review results.  
Currently I am still working on correcting my paper according to reviewers' recommendations. Once finished I will send the final manuscript soon.  
Please let me know the due date of submission.

Best regards,

Irfan Syamsuddin, PhD

[Quoted text hidden]